NOVEMBER 15, 2022

Save on Energy Workshop: Efficient Building Electrification for Municipalities

Presented by the Save on Energy Team



Agenda

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Electrification in the Ontario municipal sector
- 3. The Efficient Electrification Toolkit
 - Building Electrification Interactive Fact Sheet
 - Building Electrification RETScreen Tools
- 4. What's next?



About the IESO



Reliably operate Ontario's Province-wide system 24/7



Plan for Ontario's future energy needs



Enable competition and create efficient electricity markets





Enable province-wide energy efficiency



Smart Metering Entity





Purposefully engage to enable informed decisions



Support innovation



Cybersecurity leadership

New Program Launches in 2023

The IESO also continues to develop new programs in response to customer feedback. The following programs will be launched in 2023:

- Strategic Energy Management program, an evolution of the Energy Manager program that provides training, resources and enhanced technical support to companies with a dedicated energy management team.
- Existing Building Commissioning program, to help companies find opportunities to optimize operations and improve energy efficiency based on their current facility requirements.
- Commercial Midstream Lighting program with lighting incentives for lighting distributors to increase sales of energy-efficient lighting through point-of-sale discounts
- Additional local initiatives in targeted areas of the province where electricity constraints exist.



2021 Energy Manager Awards

Come celebrate with the Energy Manager community! Thursday, November 24 8:30 a.m. to noon International Centre, Mississauga

- In-person networking with your peers
- Interactive panel discussion with award winners on their key success factors
- Free to attend; continental breakfast provided

For more information, please email admin@energymanagerprogram.ca





2020 Award Winners







Electrification Overview

Combustion Heating



Resistance Heating



Heat Pump Heating/Cooling



All values approximate

Efficiency	80% – 98%	Close to 100%	2.5 - 4.0 Coefficient of Performance (COP)
Energy Cost	\$0.27/m3	\$0.15/kWh	\$10GJ - \$20/GJ
Emissions	1900 gCO2e/m3	134 gCO2e/kWh	10 – 20 kg CO2e/GJ



Electrification Cost and Carbon Overview







Powering Tomorrow.

8

Electrification in Ontario Municipalities: Your Feedback

Based on 2021 workshops and interviews:

- Climate targets are a near-term priority
- Many projects are already underway
- Replacement of gas RTU with ASHP is the most common retrofit measure
- Cost of abated carbon a key screening metric
- Electrical service constraints a common challenge
- Lack of tools for early-stage project analysis





What's in the Toolkit?

Interactive Fact Sheet MS Excel tool

- RETScreen Expert whole building model templates using building archtypes from the RETScreen Expert Virtual Energy Analyzer:
 - Small Office (600m²)
 - Laboratory (2250m²)
 - Fire Station (600 m²)
- RETScreen Expert Heating/Cooling model templates – created based upon the archetypical buildings with conversion from natural gas to ASHPs:
 - Small Office (600m²)
 - Laboratory (2250m²)
 - Fire Station (600 m²)
- Overview & Guidance



EFFICIENT ELECTRIFICATION INTERACTIVE FACT SHEET

This Interactive Fact Sheet allows users to view the financial and carbon emissions impacts of electrifying building heating and cooling by replacing a rooftop unit (RTU) with an air-source heat pump (ASHP).

DID YOU KNOW ...?

Replacing an aging rooftop unit (RTU) with an air-source heat pump reduces carbon emissions and may deliver a return on investment?

Adjust the parameters in bold below to quickly estimate the costs and benefits for your

รแนสแบ	
Static Paran	neters
Floor area (m2)	1000
Existing RTU efficiency:	70%
New equipment COP" (cooling):	6
New equipment seasonal efficiency (heating)	200%
Adjustible Par	rameters
My RTU fuel is:	Natural Gas
Ne v equipment type:	ASHP
l am located in:	Ottawa
My building insulation condition:	Medium
Energy efficiency measures	Demand Control Ventilation and Heat Recovery
	· ·
PROJECT OUT	COMES
Annual operating cost savings	\$9.732
Ä	
Carbon emissions impact	-97%
FINANCIAL MI	ETRICS
Savings to investment ratio:	1.2
Internal rate of return:	8%
Net present value:	\$18,909
Simple payback (years)	10.3

\$/ton carbon avoided:



\$127



Efficient Electrification Interactive Fact Sheet



Efficient Electrification RETScreen Expert Tools



Using RETScreen Expert for Natural Gas Heating to Electric ASHP Conversion Analysis – A Toolkit

Overview & Guidance

Toolkit Approach

- This toolkit is built upon the feasibility models of the RETScreen Expert analysis software and thereby relies upon the user to possessing RETScreen navigation and feasibility modelling skills.
- Guidance is provided for two situations:
 - 1. Whole facility where historical data is available for electricity and natural gas with a heating/cooling consumption breakdown by end-use and an estimate of efficiency improvement measures.
 - 2. For a partial facility or whole facility where historical data is not available for electricity and natural gas but there is no breakdown by end-use for heating/cooling or efficiency measures. In this case we present a building (energy) model to represent a base case buildings fuel consumption, a breakdown by end use and efficiency measures to represent a proposed case.
- For both cases, a heating/cooling (load) model to analyze the conversion of the building with efficiency measures heated with gas to a building heated with an ASHP.
 - Building archetypes, from the Virtual Energy Analyzer, for three building types, are used to represent an existing building and as a source of data to populate and calibrate a Power/Heating/Cooling model.
- The Power/Heating/Cooling model is then used to size a heat pump systems and determine energy cost and carbon reductions thereby facilitating an informed decision to convert to air source heat pumps (ASHPs).

What's in the Toolkit?

- RETScreen Expert whole building model templates using building archtypes from the RETScreen Expert Virtual Energy Analyzer:
 - Small Office (600m²)
 - Laboratory (2250m²)
 - Fire Station (600 m²)
- RETScreen Expert Heating/Cooling model templates – created based upon the archetypical buildings with conversion from natural gas to ASHPs:
 - Small Office (600m²)
 - Laboratory (2250m²)
 - Fire Station (600 m²)
- Overview & Guidance
- RETScreen Expert Help
 - https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCyFMjG_OXXGtRVnsiTim0IQ

RETScreen - Energy Model								Sul	bscriber: TdS Di	txon Inc - Pr	rofession
Commercial/Institutional - Laboratory - Da Fuels & schedules Electricity and fuels	×1 •••	Show: All	• He	ating	Cooling	Electricity	Incremental initial costs	Fuel cost savings	Incremental O&M savings	Simple payback	Includ
C Schedules		Energy - base case	• ki	Wh •	kWh	kWh	s	\$	\$	ут	
Equipment		Heating									
4 A Mention		Space heating						0 8,285	0	Immediate	\checkmark
Share heating		Water heater) 0	0		4
Water beater		Cooling									
4 🕮 Coolina		Air conditioning) 445	0	Immediate	1
Air conditioning		Building envelope									
		Building envelope	67	2,651	65,509		100	373	0	0.3	1
 End-use 		Ventilation									
🔺 🙆 Building envelope	^	Zone - 1 - Office	22	2,748	3,459		14,03	2 446	0	31.5	1
Building envelope		Zone - 2 - Office	31	1,736	4,826		17,350	622	0	27.9	1
🔗 Roof - Steel		Zone - 3 - Office	18	3,255	2,776		11,70	358	0	32.7	2
🔗 Walls - Brick		Zone - 4 - Office	27	7,242	4,143		15,84	3 534	0	29.7	4
4 🚱 Ventilation		Zone - 5, 6, 7 - Laboratory	1,0	61,595	161,434		112,500	26,525	0	4.2	1
Zone - 1 - Office		Lights									
Zone - 2 - Office		Office Meeting room				13,403	3,36	670	0	5.0	1
Zone - 3 - Office		Laboratory				31,202	6,400	1,844	325	3.0	1
	~	Laboratory Task lighting				2,127	1,200	0 106	0	11.3	1
 Optimize supply 		Lobby Cafeteria Corridor				6,028	1,364	301	63	3.7	4
🔺 📩 Heating		Sign - Exit				4,906	1,37	5 420	193	2.2	1
Solar water heater		Exterior - Facade Parking				14,104	4,24	963	42	4.2	1
4 🔁 Power		Exterior - Sign				473	156	5 15.8	31	3.3	4
Photovoltaic - 89 kW		Electrical equipment									
Summary		Office				23,709		254	0	Immediate	4
D instate manual		Laboratory				34,493		0 0	0		1
AS course measurer		Cafeteria				11,222	2,10	702	75	2.7	V
er comparison		Standby losses				17,520	1,000	876	0	1.1	1
		Hot water									
		Hot water	9	,935			2,10	112	1,092	1.7	1
		Laboratory	9	935							1.2



HELP With RETSCreen Use and Navigation

Creating RETScreen Expert Whole Building Modelling



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Zf0paNBPX WY&list=PLoj8AlvsTZVGNVyD4uLAUOIG6f0Ndv R-M

RETScreen Expert eLearning Channel



https://www.youtube.com/chann el/UCyFMjG_OXXGtRVnsiTim0IQ

Two Paths

1. Whole Facility (with historical gas & electricity data)

Basic Site Data (an energy audit could be source)

RETScreen Export Heat/Cool/Power Model

Carbon & Financial Case



2. Partial Facility (or whole facility with no historical data) RETScreen (Whole/Partial) Building Model RETScreen Export Heat/Cool/Power Model Carbon & Financial Case



Heated floor area for building	me	•	4,500
Fuel type			Natural gas - m ⁸
Seasonal efficiency	%		70%
Heating load calculation			
Heating load for building	W/m ²	•	39
Domestic hot water heating base demand	%		4%
Total heating	kWh		385,220
Total peak heating load	kW	-	176
Fuel consumption - annual	mª		51,781
Fuel rate	\$/m ^a		0.30
Fuel cost	\$		15,534
Proposed case energy efficiency measures			
End-use energy efficiency measures	%		43.9%
End-use energy efficiency measures cost	\$		
Net peak heating load	kW		98.5
Net heating	kWh		216,109





Method 1: Whole Facility

From historical data possibly supported by an energy audit providing an end-use breakdown and efficiency measures.

Accessing RETScreen Power/Heating Cooling Model and Setting Location

- Open Retscreen Expert and Navigate to the Location tab across the top bar
- Default location for template files are in Toronto, if a different location is required click 'select climate data location...' button and select building location



- Note: if location is energy rates and fuels select may need to be changed to match building location
- To setup Retscreen's power/heating/ cooling model, navigate to the Facility page and under 'facility type' select 'Power/ Heating/ Cooling' and proceed to Energy tab to begin analysis



Next step



Calibrate Power Model

- Select Heating and Cooling for 'system selection' (not power) and set fuel rates
- Navigate to 'Load & network' section and proceed to populate building data

Select system



- Populate the base case system with correct floor area and equipment efficiency (green box)
- Calibrate the heating and cooling models to the heating and cooling values calculated from historical data – for example from an existing energy audit. (use help section for guidance based on design temperature on location tab)
- Determine % hot water usage for heating and non weather cooling load % based historical consumption and demand data. (see excel template)
- Populate 'end-use energy efficiency measures' based on projects in the building if necessary



Calibration continued...

Month	Cooling system load kW	Heating net average load kW	Heat for cooling kW	Heating system load kW
January	0.07	8.6	0	8.6
February	0.07	7.2	0	7.2
. seh	0.07	5.2	0	5.2
April	0.07	3	0	3
May	1.5	1.3	0	1.3
lune	3.7	0.09	0	0.09
uly	4.9	0.09	0	0.09
August	4.5	0.09	0	0.09
September	2.7	0.54	0	0.54
October	0.07	2.3	0	2.3
A CONTRACT OF A	0.07	3.9	0	3.9
December	0.07	0	0	6.2
Peak load - annual	8.1	13.9	0	13.9
Proposed case load and energy		Heating	Cooling	
System peak load	kW 💌	13.9	8.1	
System energy	MWh 🔻	29.5	14	

- Once Base case system have been defined and efficiency measures accounted for, jump to 'Load characteristics'
- On this page you will see the 'Proposed Case load characteristics' that are used to determine the proposed case systems
- Record these numbers for further inputs

Calibration continued...determining Heating and Cooling Energy



- For Gas, we want to know the percentage of total consumption that is for Domestic hot water, to do this:
 - take the total m3 in the non-cooling season as a percentage of total gas consumption



- For Electricity the process is similar to determine you cooling energy to calibrate, to do this:
 - Take the total kWh in the non-cooling season and subtract that from the total kWh for the year, this will be the energy required for cooling

Use Proposed Heat/Cool Loads to Model 100% Heat Pump System

- 'Size' the proposed case system appropriately based on previously calculated capacities for both heating and cooling
- Use the RETSCreen Database to find a system, but be careful heating and cooling system are not linked
- In Demo models, capacity in proposed case matches calculated values simply for demo purposes, exact sizing will be based on systems available to meet the building needs
- Costing for new systems will need to be calculated by user





Proposed case electric load (demand) for heat pump system at proposed COP!

Peak Load System & Fraction (%) of Heat Delivered



- 'Size' the proposed case system appropriately based on previously calculated capacities for both heating and cooling
- Use the RETSCreen Database to find a system, but be careful heating and cooling system are not linked
- In Demo models, capacity in proposed case matches calculated values simply for demo purposes, exact sizing will be based on systems available to meet the building needs

Fraction (%) of Heat Delivered Versus Carbon Reduction





- In some cases the energy delivered may not meet the needs of the building, therefore a gas backup system may be required for peak load heating, rather that installing a larger system...
- We can see carbon reduction is still likely to be very high vs the cost of putting the larger peaking system, which could be costly

Method 2: Partial Facility or No Historical Data/ End-Use Breakdown

Using RETScreen Expert Building Model Data

Use Energy Model: Heating, Cooling & Electricity & Interactions

Commercial/Institutional - Office - Small - Office building			_			_			
 Fuels & schedules 					Incremental		Incremental	Simple	Include
Electricity and fuels	Show: All	- Hei ng	Cooling	Electricity	initial costs	Fuel cost savings	O&M savings	payback	measure?
Schedules	Energy - base case	• kW •	kWh	kWh	\$	\$	s	yr	
Equipment									
A A Heating	Space heating				0	0	0		4
Space heating	Domestic hot water				0	0	0		V
Domestic hot water	Cooling								-
A 🕮 Coolina	Air conditioning				0	0	0		4
Air conditioning	Building envelope								
	Office	23,187	18,172		3,850	486	0	7.9	\checkmark
^) End-use	Ventilation								
Building envelope	Office	26,796	9,133		14,400	904	0	15.9	\checkmark
Office	Washroom	1,117	381		600	1.9	0	313.7	1
Second - Office - Steel	Lights								
🔗 Walls - Office - Brick	Office			11,615	7,575	584	90.7	11.2	1
Ventilation	Cafeteria			619	429	34.9	6.4	10.4	\checkmark
Office	Sign - Exit			193	46	14	28	1.1	\checkmark
Washroom	Exterior - Parking			1,402	850	102	-5	8.8	\checkmark
A 🔋 Lights	Exterior - Facade			2,575	1,910	135	85	8.7	\checkmark
	Exterior - Doors			1,104	820	57.8	35	8.8	\checkmark
Optimize supply	Electrical equipment								
🔺 📩 Heating	Office			12,148	1,520	545	25	2.7	\checkmark
Solar water heater	Server room			2,628	0	0	0		\checkmark
🖌 🔧 Power	Hot water								
Photovoltaic - 24 kW	Hot water	2,782			1,890	21	60	23.3	\checkmark
Summary	Fans								
E) Include many and	Office			10,367	200	203	0	1.0	1
Include measure:	Washroom			516	0	0	0		\checkmark
Comparison	Heating								
	Solar water heater	0			0	0	0		
	Power								
	Photovoltaic - 24 kW				0	0	0		
	Total	53,882	27,686	43,167	34.090	3,088	325	10.0	

Start with RETScreen ArcheType Building (virtual Energy Analyzer) similar to your building supplied with tool

- OR Build an Energy Model of your building based on current building characteristics
- Use data From include measure screen to determine Heating and cooling consumption
- Ensure you are
 viewing 'Energy –
 base case'

Use Energy Model: Determine Efficiency Improvement (%)

• In "Comparison" section determine Fuel saved %

	Fue	l type	Base c	ase	Propose	d case	Savings	i
Fuel type	Fuel rate	Fuel consumption - unit	Fuel consumption	Fuel cost	Fuel consumption	Fuel cost	Fuel saved	Savings
Natural gas	\$ 0.30	m	7,196	\$ 2,159	3,918	\$ 1,175	3,278	\$ 983
Electricity	\$ 0.10	kWh	51,819	\$ 5,182	30,770	\$ 3,077	21,049	\$ 2,105
Total				\$ 7,341		\$ 4,252		\$ 3,088
Project verification —								
Fuel type	Fuel consumption - unit	Fuel consumption - historical	Base case *	l consumption - variance				
Natural gas	m		7,196					
Electricity	kWh		51,819					
Savings								
	Heating	Cooling	Electricity	Total	Plan	Variance		
Fuel consumption	kWh •	kWh	kWh	kWh	kWh	%		
Fuel consumption	kWh •	kWh 8,652	kWh 43,167	kWh 128,296	kWh 142,017	-9.7%		
Fuel consumption • Proposed case	kWh • 76,477 41,639	kWh 8,652 4,357	kWh 43,167 26,413	kWh 128,296 72,409	kWh 142,017 80,407	% -9.7% -9.9%		
Fuel consumption Proposed case Fuel saved	kWh • 76,477 41,639 34,838	kWh 8,652 4,357 4 295	kWh 43,167 26,413 16,754	kWh 128,296 72,409 55,887	kWh 142,017 80,407 61,610	% -9.7% -9.9% -9.3%		

Calibrate Energy Model to Power Model (ignore non-weather usage)

- Open New RETScreen Model, Select Power, Heating and Cooling on Facility Page
- Select Heating and Cooling for system selection (not power) and set fuel rates
- Populate the base case system with correct floor area and equipment efficiency (green box)
- Calibrate the heating and cooling models to the heating and cooling values in efficiency model, adjust w/m2 as needed within reasonable values
- Determine % hot water usage for heating and non weather cooling load % based on the `include measure' screen data in the building model
- Populate 'end-use energy efficiency measures' input based on values from comparison

			Base case cooling system						
	Cooling		Cooled floor area for building	m²	•)(4,500			
-	Link	100	Fuel type			Electricity rate - annual			
-		- 10	Adjust W/m ² to	kW/kW	•	3.2			
			calibrate	W/m ²	•	25			
			Non-weather dependent cooking		7.0				
			Total cooling	kWh	-	159,060			
		- 10	Total peak cooling load	kW	-	113			
			Fuel consumption - annual			42.1			
	18,172		Fuel rate	\$/kWh		0.10			
	0.122		Fuel cost	\$		4,971			
	3,135		Proposed case energy efficiency measures						
			End-use energy efficiency measures	%					
			End-use energy et ciency measures cost	\$					
			Net peak cooling load	kW		113		Nov	t ct
			Net cooli	kWh		159,060		ILCA	LSU
		ı	Sing outding - space heating	_				7/	
		ı	Sinver ourding - space heating	-				1/	
		ł	Since ouiding - space heating Pise case heating system Heated floor area for building	m²	•)	600		1/	
		l	Since ouiding - space heating Dise case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type	m²	•	600 Natural gas - m ⁸	•	/	
		l	Since outding - space heating Pose case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to	m²	•	600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70%			
		l	Since outding - space heating Dise case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to Meating lead of the three	m²	•	600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70%			
			Since outsting - space heating Dise case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to Meating load for ournary -	m²	•	600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70% 42			
			Since ouriding - space heating Dise case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to Meating load for ouring - Domestic hot water heating base demand	m² m/m %	•	600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70% 42 3.4%			
			Since ousding - space heating Pice case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to Meating load for ournary Domestic hot water heating base demand fotal heating	m² tr/m % MWD	•	600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70% 42 3.4% 53.3	•		
			Since ousding - space heating Pice case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to Meating load for uninerry Domestic hot water heating base demand fotal heating Total peak heating for the	m² W/m % MWn kW	•	600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70% 42 3.4% 53.5 25.2			
			Since ousding - space heating Pice case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to Meating load for winnerry - Domestic hot water heating base demand Total peak heating for the rule consumption - annual	m² W/m % MWn kW m²	•	600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70% 42 3.4% 53.5 25.2 7.198			
1	_		Since outsting - space heating Pise case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to Meating load for uninerry - Domestic hot water heating base demand Total peak heating human Total peak heating human Total peak heating human Fuel rate	m² virm % MWh kW m² S/m²	•	600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70% 42 3.4% 53.5 25.2 7,198 0.30			
)(27,685		Since outsding - space heating Pise case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to Meatine lead or uninerry Demestic hot water heating base demand Total peak heation for Fuel rote Fuel rote Fuel rote	m² % % % % % % % %	•	600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70% 42 3.4% 53.5 25.2 7,198 0.30 2.159			
) (27,685		Since outsting - space heating Pise case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to Meatine lead or uninerry Domestic hot water heating base demand Total peak beation for the Fuel cost Fuel cost	m² % % % % MWn kW m² \$/m² \$	•	600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70% 42 3.4% 53.5 25.2 7,198 0.30 2,159			
) (27,686		Since ousding - space heating Pice case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to Meating load for ournamy - Domestic hot water heating base demand Total peak heating for annual Fuel cost Fuel cost End-use energy efficiency measures	m² % % % % %	•	600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70% 42 3.4% 53.5 25.2 7,198 0.30 2,159 45%			
) (27,686		Sinver ourding - space heating Pixe case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to Meating load for ournary - Domestic hot water heating base demand Total peak heating heating Total peak heating heating Fuel consumption - annual Fuel cost Heating the energy efficiency measures End-use energy efficiency measures End-use energy efficiency measures	m² W/M % WWn KW S/m² S/m² S		600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70% 42 3.4% 53.5 25.2 7,198 0.30 2,159 45%			
) (27,686		Since outsting - space heating Pise case heating system Heated floor area for building Fuel type Seasonal efficier Adjust W/m ² to Meatine lead of Adjust W/m ² to Meati	m² % % % % % % % % % %		600 Natural gas - m ⁸ 70% 42 3.4% 53.5 25.2 7,198 0.30 2,159 45% 13.9			

Calibration continued...

Month	Cooling system Ioad kW	Heating net average load kW	Heat for cooling kW	Heating system load kW
anuary	0.07	8.6	0	8.6
bruary	0.07	7.2	0	7.2
rch	0.07	5.2	0	5.2
pril	0.07	3	0	3
lay	1.5	1.3	0	1.3
ne	3.7	0.09	0	0.09
ly	4.9	0.09	0	0.09
gust	4.5	0.09	0	0.09
ptember	2.7	0.54	0	0.54
ctober	0.07	2.3	0	2.3
	0.07	3.9	0	3.9
ecember	0.07	6.2	0	6.2
ak load - annual		13.9	0	13.9
oposed case load and energy		Heating	Cooling	
/stem peak load	kW 🔻	13.9	8.1	
/stem energy	MWh 🔻	29.5	14	

- Once Base case system have been defined and efficiency measures accounted for, jump to `Load characteristics'
- On this page you will see the 'Proposed Case load characteristics' that are used to determine the proposed case systems
- Record these numbers for further inputs

Use Proposed Heat/Cool Loads to Model 100% Heat Pump System

- 'Size' the proposed case system appropriately based on previously calculated capacities for both heating and cooling
- Use the RETSCreen Database to find a system, but be careful heating and cooling system are not linked
- In Demo models, capacity in proposed case matches calculated values simply for demo purposes, exact sizing will be based on systems available to meet the building needs



Proposed case electric load (demand) for heat pump system at proposed COP!

Peak Load System & Fraction (%) of Heat Delivered



- 'Size' the proposed case system appropriately based on previously calculated capacities for both heating and cooling
- Use the RETSCreen Database to find a system, but be careful heating and cooling system are not linked
- In Demo models, capacity in proposed case matches calculated values simply for demo purposes, exact sizing will be based on systems available to meet the building needs

Fraction (%) of Heat Delivered versus Carbon Reduction





- In some cases the energy delivered may not meet the needs of the building, therefore a gas backup system may be required for peak load heating, rather that installing a larger system...
- We can see carbon reduction is still likely to be very high vs the cost of putting the larger peaking system, which could be costly

Reference for the Heating Cooling Load Model

- Click HELP and select Engineering e-Textbook
- Select Combined Heat & Power... ...chapter
- Model is detailed in Section 2.1 (heating) & 2.2 (cooling)



Thank You!

Adam Trela, City of London (formerly)

Alex Bogun, Region of Peel

Amanda Martin, City of Markham

Dave Cano Tinoco, Town of Oakville

Jodi Janwin, City of Burlington

Jose Rocha, Region of Waterloo

Sokol Aliko, City of Windsor

Dave Gerrish, Queens University Frank Misicek, Mohawk College Mary Quintana, Brock University Robert McCallum, Laurier College



Webinar Follow Up

The webinar recording and materials will be shared with you by email.

For questions regarding the Efficient Electrification RETScreen Expert[®] tools contact <u>adam@knowenergy.com</u>.

Please help us by taking two minutes to complete a survey about this session! See the link to the survey in the Chat now.



Thank you

SaveOnEnergy.ca

saveonenergy@ieso.ca



@SaveOnEnergyOnt



facebook.com/SaveOnEnergyOntario



linkedin.com/showcase/ SaveOnEnergy-Ontario

